UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,566 | 10/11/2005 | Ranko Effenberger | 047.0071 | 2567 |
| 3404 7590 04/17/2007 PURDUE LAW OFFICES 2735 N. HOLLAND-SYLVANIA ROAD ROSE, ROBERT A | | | | |
| SUITE B-2 TOLDEO, OH 43615 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3723 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MON | NTHS | 04/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | | E | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summers | 10/552,566 | EFFENBERGER, RANKO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robert Rose | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja | anuary 2007 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | · | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | • | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected. | · <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | od iii tiiis i vational otage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| A441 | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗖 Interded 0 | /PTO 442\ | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/3/07</u> . | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | |
| r apor riologimian date <u>riodi</u> . | O) [| | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/552,566

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Drawings, filed January 3, 2007.
- 2. Claims 8-11 have been added.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers(US 1928390) in view of Vicenzi(US 1901681).

 Myers('390) discloses a grinding head comprising substantially all of the subject matter set forth in Applicant's claims above, except for the recitation of the bearing being received in a two-part connection piece. To make the connection piece of a two-piece construction, in order to allow the bearing connection to be easily assembled and disassembled for repair or replacement, would have been obvious in view of Vicenzi.
- 5. Claims 4, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed January 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the primary reference to Myers('390) does not teach three separable and re-attachable elements in the form of a drive part, transmission part, and disk-shaped body part. However, it is

Application/Control Number: 10/552,566

Art Unit: 3723

clear from figures 1-3 of Myers('390) that such an assemblage of parts exists. Note drive part, defined by housing(15), and central shaft(14) with spherical bearing(26). Transmission part(40) and disk-shaped body part(31) are separately attachable by way of threaded members, (43), and (30)(32), respectively. The components may be separated and reattached by these fasteners.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on alternate Fridays, from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3723

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

Rr

March 14, 2007.